

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/090,116	02/28/2002	Eric T. Bovell	3336.1003-000	3609	
21005	7590 08/05/2005			EXAMINER	
HAMILTON, BROOK, SMITH & REYNOLDS, P.C.			BUI, HUNG S		
530 VIRGIN	IA ROAD				
P.O. BOX 91	133		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
CONCORD,	MA 01742-9133		2841		
•	•		DATE MAILED: 08/05/2009		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
		10/090,116	BOVELL, ERIC T.		
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
		Hung S. Bui	2841		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
THE - External after - If the - If NC - Failu Any (ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rej period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu- reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailined patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin ply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status			•		
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 i	Mav 2005.			
•—	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.				
	· ·				
Dispositi	on of Claims				
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□ 8)□ Applicati	Claim(s) 2,3 and 6-15 is/are pending in the application (s) 2,3 and 6-15 is/are pending in the application (s) is/are withdrawd. Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 2,3 and 6-15 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/on Papers The specification is objected to by the Examination The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accompanies as a popular and applicant may not request that any objection to the	awn from consideration. for election requirement. her. cepted or b) □ objected to by the I			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119				
a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documer application from the International Burea see the attached detailed Office action for a lis	nts have been received. Its have been received in Applicationity documents have been received au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage		
Attachmen	• •	»□···•	(DTO 440)		
2) Notic 3) Infor	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:			

Art Unit: 2841

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 2-3, 6-8, 10-12 and 14-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tirrell et al. [US 5,828,546] in view of Zeidan et al. [US 6,478,170].

Regarding claim 10, Tirrell et al. disclose a contact module (figure 1) comprising:

- a panel (10a) having a front, top, a bottom side, the panel being rotatably connected to the sled module; and
- at least one hook (10d) near the bottom of the panel engaging a catch (57) of a tray (50) when the panel is rotated into a closed position.

Tirrell et al. disclose the instant claimed invention except for the panel including a plurality of grounding tabs for electrically connecting the panel to adjacent panel to provide a flat continuous front panel surface.

Zeidan et al. disclose a removable circuit board interface (figures 1, 5 and 7) having a front panel (12) including a plurality of grounding tabs (40, figures 5, 7) for electrically engaging an adjacent interface to provide a flat continuous front panel surface.

Art Unit: 2841

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the grounding tab design of Zeidan et al. with the module of Tirrell et al., for the purpose of grounding the panel with an adjacent panel.

Regarding claim 2, Tirrell et al. disclose a fastener (16) releasably locking the panel to the circuit module.

Regarding claim 3, Tirrell et al. disclose the instant claimed invention except for the fastener being a screw.

Official notice is taken that it is well known to use a fastener means, such as a screw to secure a panel to a chassis.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use a screw for the fastener in order to secure the panel to the circuit module.

Regarding claim 6, Tirrell et al. disclose the panel serving as a lever for extracting the circuit sled module from the tray when the panel is in opening position.

Regarding claim 7, Tirrell et al. disclose at least one side-wall having at least one hook (10c) extending from a portion thereof near the bottom of the panel (figure 1a).

Regarding claim 8, Tirrell et al. disclose the circuit module comprising a hard disk drive.

Regarding claims 11-12, Tirrell et al. disclose the front panel engaging an outer surface of the catch when the panel is rotated away from the circuit module.

Regarding claims 14-15, the claimed method steps would have been necessitated by the product structure.

Art Unit: 2841

3. Claims 9 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tirrell et al., as modified, as applied to claim 10 above, and further in view of Kim et al. [6,288,902].

Regarding claim 9, Tirrell et al., as modified, disclose the instant claimed invention except for the panel including vents.

Kim et al. disclose a module data storage having a front panel (220) including a plurality of air vents (218).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include vents in the panel of Tirrell et al., as modified, as suggested by Kim et al., for the purpose of enhancing cooling.

Regarding claim 13, Tirrell et al., as modified, disclose the instant claimed invention except for hole in the sidewall for receiving an axle connected to the circuit module and the panel rotating about an axis formed by the axle.

Kim et al. disclose the panel being mounted via and axle (237) through holes (228) mounted on the panel.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the axle design of Kim et al. with the panel of Tirrell et al., as modified, for the purpose of providing rotational motion to the panel.

Art Unit: 2841

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments filed 654654 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues there would have been no motivation to combine Tirrell et al. with Zeidan et al. and that there would have been no teaching the suggest the desirability of combining the grounding tabs with the lever.

Examiner disagrees: Applicant acknowledges that Tirrell et al. disclose the claimed panel having at least one hook for engaging a tray when the panel is rotated into the closed position and that Zeidan et al. disclose an effective EMC seal between a faceplate and tray meeting industry standards, see applicant's arguments, page 1. In response to applicant's argument that there is no suggestion to combine the references, the examiner recognizes that obviousness can only be established by combining or modifying the teachings of the prior art to produce the claimed invention where there is some teaching, suggestion, or motivation to do so found either in the references themselves or in the knowledge generally available to one of ordinary skill in the art. See *In re Fine*, 837 F.2d 1071, 5 USPQ2d 1596 (Fed. Cir. 1988)and *In re Jones*, 958 F.2d 347, 21 USPQ2d 1941 (Fed. Cir. 1992). In this case a skilled artisan would have been motivated to use the EMC shielding design for a face plate as shown by Zeidan et al. with the cover/faceplate of Tirrell et al. in order to provide the necessary EMC shielding for the housing while allowing the card to be removed.

Conclusion

5. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hung S. Bui whose telephone number is (571) 272-2102. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8:30AM-6:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kamand Cuneo can be reached on (571) 272-1957. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2841

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

8/4/05 Hung Bui Art Unit 2841

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800